```
1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                    Plaintiff.
 5
                                        Cause No. 4:19CR-998JAR
                    VS.
 6
     LAKISHA S. SMITH,
 7
                    Defendant.
                                    )
 8
                           SENTENCING HEARING
9
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN A. ROSS
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
                             JUNE 17, 2021
11
12
                               APPEARANCES
13
     For United States:
     Ms. Jennifer Roy
14
     Office of U.S. Attorney
     111 South 10th Street
15
     St. Louis, MO 63102
16
     For Defendant:
17
     Ms. Susan McGraugh
     St. Louis University School of Law
18
     100 N. Tucker Blvd., Suite 704
     St. Louis, MO 63101
19
20
21
22
               Stenographically Reported and Produced by:
                   Lisa M. Paczkowski, CCR, CSR, RPR
23
                        Official Court Reporter
                      United States District Court
24
                          111 South 10th Street
                           St. Louis, MO 63102
25
                              (314)244-7985
```

JUNE 17, 2021

(At 10:15 a.m., the proceedings returned to open court.)

THE COURT: We are here and on the record in the case of the United States versus Lakisha Smith. It is cause number 4:19CR-998. The case is set here today for sentencing. The Defendant previously appeared in this court on March 11th of this year 2021, and she entered a plea of guilty to two counts of a six count indictment. She entered pleas of guilty to the charges in Counts 2 and 5. Each count charged her with aiding and abetting the filing of false income tax returns.

As I indicated, the case was set here this morning for 10 o'clock. It is 10:15. The Defendant has not appeared. Defendant's counsel, Sue McGraugh, is here. The United States is appearing by Assistant United States
Attorney Jennifer Roy.

Ms. McGraugh, you indicated before we went on the record that you had a situation. So I want to give you an opportunity to tell me whatever you want to tell me with regard to that situation.

MS. MCGRAUGH: Thank you, Judge Ross. May I remove my mask while I speak?

THE COURT: You may. Yes, when you are speaking you can definitely take your mask off.

MS. MCGRAUGH: Your Honor, my client is downstairs.

She was here relatively promptly. Her 20 year old daughter is here. She didn't have an ID nor does my client. They have given my client permission to come up here; however, she will not come up without her daughter, and I wondered if there was a way to communicate with the Marshals to allow the daughter to come up.

They said the only way they will allow her daughter to come up is if Ms. Roy brings her up. But I don't want to impose on Ms. Roy. She doesn't know her either. I'm in a little -- and I don't have a solution.

THE COURT: Okay. We will go off-the-record for just a moment.

(An off-the-record conversation took place. At 10:14 a.m., the proceedings returned to open court.)

THE COURT: We are here and on the record in the case of the United States versus Lakisha Smith. It is cause number 4:19CR-998. The record should reflect that the Defendant appears in person and with counsel Sue McGraugh. The United States appears by Assistant United States Attorney Jennifer Roy.

The Defendant previously appeared in this court on March 11th of this year 2021. At that time, she appeared on a six count indictment. Pursuant to a written guilty plea agreement, we deferred action on Counts 1, 3, 4, and 6, proceeded on Counts 2 and 5. Ms. Smith entered pleas of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

quilty to the charges in Counts 2 and 5 of aiding and abetting the filing of false income tax returns. The Court ordered a presentence report to be prepared. We are here today for sentencing. Ms. McGraugh, you are here today with your client presenting her for sentencing; is that correct? MS. MCGRAUGH: Yes, I am, your Honor. THE COURT: And I do want to say at the outset, when you are speaking, if you will lower your mask it will help me hear, the court reporter hear. I want to make sure I hear everything that's being said. MS. MCGRAUGH: Yes. THE COURT: Ms. Smith, you can stay right there, but Ms. Smith, do you understand you are here today for sentencing; is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And it is important that you keep your voice up, speak into that microphone. Again, I have to hear what you say. It is important the court reporter hears as well. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: So again, you are here today for sentencing; is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And before we proceed with sentencing,

I have some questions that I need to ask of you. I want you to listen carefully to what I say. If there anything you don't understand, I want you to stop me and ask me to repeat it or explain it to you, or you can ask for time to talk to your attorney. But I want to make sure that you understand

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

what's going on here today, all right.

THE COURT: It is also necessary for you to give full, complete, and truthful answers to my questions. Your answers are under oath, which means under penalty of perjury; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And at this time, if you will raise your right hand, I'll ask the Clerk to administer the oath.

LAKISHA S. SMITH,

- being produced and sworn, testified as follows:
- 17 Q. (By the Court) Will you state your full name for the 18 record?
- 19 A. Lakisha Smith.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 20 | Q. And your date of birth?
- 21 A. September 9, 1981.
- 22 Q. Ms. Smith, again do you understand you are here today
- 23 | for sentencing; is that correct?
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Here today, is your mind clear, do you know exactly what

- 1 | you are doing?
- 2 | A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Are you under the influence of any drugs or alcohol, or
- 4 | taking any medication of any kind that would affect your
- 5 | ability to understand this proceeding?
- 6 | A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. So again, you are telling me your mind is clear. You
- 8 understand everything that's going on here today?
- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. And you heard me go through the history of the case. Do
- 11 | you recall appearing, you did so by Zoom remotely on
- 12 | March 11th of this year. You entered pleas of guilty to
- 13 | those two counts in the indictment, Counts 2 and 5, each
- 14 | count charged you with Aiding and Abetting the Filing of
- 15 | False Income Tax Returns; is that correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. At the time that you entered those pleas of quilty, did
- 18 | you do so freely and voluntarily, because you were, in fact,
- 19 guilty of each of those charges?
- 20 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 21 | Q. You were with Ms. McGraugh at that time. She has
- 22 represented you pretty much throughout this case. I just
- 23 | want to ask you generally, have you had enough time to talk
- 24 | to her about the case?
- 25 A. Yes, your Honor.

- Q. Has she answered all of your questions?
- 2 A. Yes, your Honor.

- 3 Q. Has she done everything you have asked her to do in the
- 4 case. It really is, it is a simple question. Has she done
- 5 | everything you have asked her to do in this case?
- 6 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 7 Q. Are you satisfied with her services?
- 8 A. Yes, I'm satisfied with her services.
- 9 Q. I talked to you at the time of the plea a little bit
- 10 about what would happen here today at sentencing. I want to
- $11 \mid$ go over that with you again. The first thing that the Court
- 12 | is required to do is determine under the Sentencing
- 13 | Guidelines what the guideline range of punishment is in your
- 14 case. The way that I do that is by determining a total
- 15 offense level and a criminal history score and category.
- 16 | That's based on any prior record of criminal convictions that
- 17 | a person may have. And from that, I get a guideline range of
- 18 punishment.
- The guideline range is advisory, which means it
- 20 gives guidance to the Court, but the Court is not required to
- 21 | follow it. After I do that, I'll consider certain factors
- 22 under a section of the statute. It is 18 U.S.C. Section
- 23 | 3553(a). There are a series of factors that the Court will
- 24 consider. They include the nature and circumstances of the
- 25 offenses that you have pled guilty to. The history and

characteristics of you as the Defendant. There are a series of other factors that the Court will consider. I'll also consider anything you and your attorney want to tell me, anything that the Government wants to tell me. I'll consider the terms of the plea agreement. Do you understand all of that, Ms. Smith?

A. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you know to assist the Court, I ordered that a presentence report be prepared. Counsel, Ms. McGraugh, there were disclosure copies of the report. Then a final presentence report. You received those reports, provided access to the reports to Ms. Smith, went over them with her, answered any questions she may have had; is that correct?

MS. MCGRAUGH: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I noted for the record that there were no objections filed to the presentence report; is that correct?

MS. MCGRAUGH: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: I believe it was yesterday that a letter was brought to the courthouse and filed. You had an opportunity to review the letter; is that correct, Ms.

McGraugh, and just for the record, it is Document Number 78. It was a letter that appears to have been written by

Ms. Smith. You have read through that; is that correct?

MS. MCGRAUGH: Yes, your Honor, after it was filed.

THE COURT: Okay. And again, that was just recently filed. In that letter, it has some other information, some of which the Court will consider when we get to the sentencing. It also raises a couple of issues with regard to certain paragraphs in the presentence report. Have you gone over that with Ms. Smith, and discussed it with her?

MS. MCGRAUGH: I have not discussed the content of the letter with Ms. Smith except to tell her that I did receive the letter.

THE COURT: Okay. Again, it makes some references to a couple of the paragraphs. Some of it I had a little bit of a difficult time understanding. I'm going to give Ms. Smith an opportunity to tell me what she wants to tell me about it. What is set out there does not constitute a legal objection, and you agree; is that correct?

MS. MCGRAUGH: I agree, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Again there were no objections previously filed. I want to ask you here today on behalf of Ms. Smith, do you know of any legal objection to anything in the final presentence report?

MS. MCGRAUGH: I do not, your Honor, although I know Ms. Smith would disagree with that statement.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MCGRAUGH: And I think the Court has the contents of that in front of him.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Smith, I want to say this to you, again ordinarily when someone is represented by an attorney, I would not necessarily consider a pro se filing.

I'm telling you I am considering what you have filed. I have read what you have filed. I understand, I believe, what you have in your letter. It doesn't constitute a legal objection to anything in the presentence report, but if there is something you want to tell me about those paragraphs that you talk about, go ahead.

THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I was addressing the Court with that concern, because in 2015, I was put on probation, and I was detained by a traffic stop for my temp tags. In the traffic stop, they stopped me, and I didn't have a driver's license, and they searched my car, and there was some items in my car that they said I didn't have a receipt for. So they confiscated the items.

The officer wrote a report. I went to jail for 20 minutes. The officer wrote a report to the Probation Officer and said that the tags off the merchandise looked like they had come from another state, and that I had violated the probation and went to Atlanta. They did an investigation, and they threw the charges out, but every time I get in trouble, they say the Atlanta thing keeps coming up and

harboring over me again. They charged me just this year when I got put on intense probation for this. I'm sorry, your Honor, I'm a little nervous. What I got down and put on intense house --

THE COURT: You have to talk slow, all right.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. When I got put on intense house arrest, they brought back up the same Atlanta thing again, and for five year in 2015, and they used it against me. And it's like they continue to keep using things against me from my past that's double jeopardizing me, and it is hurting me on this case, you know, it hindered me on my case because.

THE COURT: Ms. Smith, I want to say to you there is nothing in this presentence report about anything in Atlanta that I'm going to take as being a negative, or it doesn't affect the point calculation. It doesn't affect any of those things. So to the extent that you are concerned about what happened in Atlanta, or that that's being held against you, I'm telling you there is nothing about anything related to Atlanta that I'm going to hold against you, or that the presentence report is holding against you.

So all I can say to you is I understand your concern. I'm just telling you there is nothing in there as it relates to anything in Atlanta that I'm going to hold against you in any way.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: So is there anything else you want to 3 tell me? 4 THE DEFENDANT: No. sir. 5 THE COURT: I do understand you raise an issue also 6 in the letter about the fact that you were not on supervision 7 at the time of the indictment, and there is a paragraph that 8 discusses -- and that's Paragraph 67 that you committed the 9 instant offense while under a criminal justice sentence. 10 What that's referring to, Ms. Smith, is this, that at the time that the offenses in this indictment were alleged it is 11 12 the time period 2013 to 2016, you were under a criminal 13 justice sentence. That is the sentence from cause number 14 13SL-CR2550 out of the Circuit Court of St. Louis County. 15 So that's what that's referring to just so that you 16 understand. I want to make sure you understand that; do you 17 understand? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I understand. I didn't get 19 an understanding, because I thought it was at the time that I 20 had got indicted with. 21 THE COURT: No, it has nothing to do with the time 22 of the indictment. It was the time that you were committing 23 these offenses, all right. 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: So anything else you want to tell me

with regard to objections to anything in the presentence report?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, let me come back to you, Ms. McGraugh. Again, do you have any legal objection to anything the final presentence report?

MS. MCGRAUGH: I do not, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Roy, on behalf of the Government, does the Government have any objection to anything in the final presentence report?

MS. ROY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: To the extent that there were pro se objections filed to the presentence report, the Court is going to overrule those objections. They are not legal objections. They do not affect, in the Court's view, the guideline calculations. The Court is going to adopt the final presentence report as its findings of fact and legal conclusions in this case. Specifically its findings of fact and legal conclusions with regard to the advisory guidelines. Based on the evidence and the presentence report, the Court finds that the total offense level in this case is an offense level 15. Ms. Smith has a criminal history score of 10, puts her in a criminal history category of five. It gives us a guideline range of punishment of 37 to 46 months in the Bureau of Prisons.

1 And Ms. McGraugh, do you agree that those are the 2 guideline calculations; is that correct? 3 MS. MCGRAUGH: That's correct, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: And Ms. Smith, do you understand that 5 those are the guideline calculations 37 to 46 months in 6 prison? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: And Ms. Roy, the Government agrees as 9 well? 10 MS. ROY: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: It is the procedure of the Court 12 pursuant to Local Rule to hold a closed hearing in every plea and every sentencing hearing. This portion of the proceeding 13 14 is unfortunately not public. The records are sealed. 15 I'm going to ask those of you who are observing if 16 you will just step outside for two or three minutes. 17 part of the proceeding is very brief, but I'm going to ask 18 that you to step outside of the courtroom for just a couple 19 of minutes. So all of you observing, if you will step 20 outside for just a couple minutes. 21 (Pursuant to Local Rule 13.05, a bench conference 22 was held on the record and placed under seal. The following 23 proceedings continued in open court.) 24 THE COURT: We will let everybody get back in and

get situated, and then we will proceed. Okay, thank you for

25

your understanding. One other issue that I wanted to take up is there was an amount of restitution that was determined in the presentence report as to Counts 2 and 5. The amount of restitution as determined in the presentence report was \$10,416. And again, Ms. McGraugh, on behalf of Ms. Smith, any objection to that amount?

MS. MCGRAUGH: We do not have any objection to the amount, your Honor. We are, however, asking that restitution be waived, because she is incarcerated.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, do you understand that that was the restitution amount as to those two counts, Ms. Smith. It is \$10,416. Do you understand that; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And the Government agrees as well?

MS. ROY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And that's the amount of restitution that the Government is seeking, correct?

MS. ROY: That is, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. With that, Ms. McGraugh, I know you had filed a lengthy sentencing memorandum in the case. I have had an opportunity to review that. As I indicated, there are things in Ms. Smith's letter that I viewed as related to the appropriate sentence in the case. I want to give you a chance to tell me anything you want to tell me

with regard to sentencing considerations. You can do it there from the counsel table, if you speak up, or you can come up here to the podium. Where ever you are comfortable.

MS. MCGRAUGH: I prefer the podium, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MS. MCGRAUGH: Your Honor, thank you for giving me an opportunity to tell you about Lakisha Smith. As we made clear in our sentencing memorandum, we are requesting the Court either grant Ms. Smith probation or a year and a day sentence. Should the Court determine that the proper sentence is within the guidelines, we are requesting that the Court sentence Ms. Smith to the lowest sentence possible under the guidelines which is 37 months.

Sufficient but not greater than necessary, and your Honor, that's the question that needs to be answered today is what sentence is sufficient to meet the ends of justice, but not more than necessary. The ends need to accomplish a legitimate goal of punishment, your Honor, and to answer that the Court needs to determine what it is that it wishes to accomplish. There is several legitimate reasons for punishment, deterrence, retribution, public safety, and to prevent recidivism, because a sentence that oversteps those legitimate bounds of punishment is unlawful and cruel.

I know that your Honor has read our sentencing memo, and in that memo we have attempted to help you get to

know Ms. Smith, and to see her as more than the offense that is in front of you in this courtroom. I hope you saw, as we see, that Ms. Smith had what could have been insurmountable barriers to success in her life. Rape, isolation, domestic violence all played a major role in her youth and continued through adulthood. But I hope you can also see what we saw outside of the trauma created those events, and what we see is resilience.

Your Honor, to see Ms. Smith only as a criminal and not as a hard worker, a daughter, and a beloved mother turns all of us in this well of the courtroom into what exactly the community has accused us of being, which are cogs in a machine that would just see Ms. Smith as another criminal, and turn her out of the system. And I hope that we, and I mean all of us in the well of the courtroom, are better than that.

So back to the question at hand. What ends do we seek when we talk about her sentence. What do we want the outcome to be. And here, your Honor, we need to expand what the outcome is to beyond Ms. Smith. Because Ms. Smith, as the Court knows, has three daughters, two of whom have not reached the age of majority, and any sentence that she receives is going to have a direct effect on those children. And you don't need to take my word for it, Judge. I'm not here speaking antidotally. That's why we provided

information from the National Institute of Justice, the United States National Institute of Justice that demonstrates clearly the long lasting and detrimental effects that a lengthy incarceration for Ms. Smith is going to have on her family, especially on her children.

And we have to wonder, your Honor, is that the result we seek. In the end, is that what justice demands.

Ms. Smith is responsible for this situation. Ms. Smith would be the first person to tell you that. She entered a plea of guilty. She has presented herself to this court for punishment. She knows that she is going to get probably a prison sentence, and she is accepting of that. The only thing she has consistently told myself and our social worker Lauren Chote is what is this going to do to the kids. And we are not saying that the Court would be responsible for what happens to the children. She is well aware that her behavior has harmed her children. But it is only at this point,

Judge, that we can mitigate the harm on those children.

Having her accept what happened, knowing that the Court is not responsible to the offense, does not give the rest of us sanctuary from the long-lasting effects of the separation from her children, and the fact that they are going to suffer trauma and other effects, and I think you have read some of those effects, incarceration, mental health problems, physical health programs, earning problems, if she

is given a lengthy incarceration. And I say that especially in the fact that they only learned their mother was going to be sent to prison today within the last 24 hours, and I'm concerned about compounding that trauma and asking them to endure more trauma on top of it.

In summary, Judge Ross, what happens in this courtroom today is going to determine who we collectively are seen by the community. Are we what the community thinks we are, or whether we are capable of listening to our better angels, and seeing Ms. Smith not as a felon and a criminal that comes in front of this courtroom, but as a whole person with her relationships to the community and her relationships to her family.

I think that Ms. Smith is more than this offense and doesn't deserve to be a cog in the machine, and I hope that everybody in this courtroom sees that as well. Thank you for your time, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. McGraugh. Ms. Smith, I'm going to come back to you to give you an opportunity to tell me anything you want to tell me, but let me go to you, Ms. Roy, and again you are fine if you want to stay there, or you can come to the podium, just keep your voice up, if you would.

MS. ROY: Thank you, your Honor. Your Honor, the Government has filed a response to the Defendant's sentencing

memorandum and also requests for a downward departure and downward variance. And I addressed each of those requests individually in my response. And the Government is asking for a guideline sentence in this case between 37 to 46 months.

And from the outset, I do want to note that this could have been a different conversation. The Defendant received all three points for her acceptance of responsibility in her -- at the time of her guilty plea. The Government considered, but decided coming in here today, that we would not withdraw that third point. That would have been available to us based on her lack of compliance throughout the course of her supervision while on Pretrial release. That would have changed this conversation to a level 16, criminal history category of 5, and range of 41 to 51 months.

That being said, the Defendant has a lengthy criminal history. It spanned two decades. She has several pending cases as we sit here today. She was under -- well, she committed this crime while she was under the sentence in a prior case, and the Government notes that in February when we were before the Magistrate Court for the Defendant's bond hearing, that we recommended that she be remanded. The Magistrate determined that she would be placed on house arrest and under electronic monitoring.

And the reason we asked for remand at that point

was because of her behavior again while on Pretrial release, and while bond is generally a gift, and it should have been a gift to Ms. Smith to show her characteristics, her acceptance of responsibility, her respect for the law, the deterrent effect of what was going on here, her ability to be rehabilitated, as opposed to recidivist.

Instead what it did was it provided a window to Ms. Smith's personal history and characteristics that showed that she had a total disrespect for the law. She continued to smoke marijuana while she was on Pretrial supervision. Not only that, she continued to smoke marijuana while on Pretrial supervision while she was operating her SUV driving her car. She was rolling cigarettes. She was smoking those marijuana cigarettes while she was driving. She was drinking alcohol, and we saw all of this. We saw it play out on Facebook. She was Facebook live video streaming her behavior.

often at times that behavior included her small child in the backseat of her car while she was smoking marijuana. There were other passengers in the car while this was going on. There was an unauthorized business being conducted by the Defendant that Pretrial Services was not aware of. And so all of this was going on while she was under the Court ordered supervision; and again, it would have been an opportunity for her to show us what her true interests here, intentions were.

So in a sense, she was committing new crimes again while she was under supervision. We gave her opportunities while she was under supervision as well. She was given opportunities for therapy, for counseling, for drug treatment, and on neither occasion -- well, she was ordered actually to go to inpatient treatment. On the first occasion, she walked in, decided she didn't want to stay due to I believe her reasons were that it was not clean, and that people were sick there. She left without telling Pretrial Services the nature of how she was going to travel. That was a violation as well, and then never went back.

The night before, she was supposed to return to her inpatient treatment, she reported that her daughter was ill. She had to take her to the hospital, and then Ms. Smith just never reported again to her inpatient treatment program. So again, she was given several opportunities to comply, and to accept treatment, and she didn't want either one of them.

Judge, I know Ms. McGraugh mentioned her family ties and responsibilities. Again, the Government has laid out and mentioned the -- I don't think I need to belabor the point. That is a very unfortunately an atypical -- or this is not an atypical situation that she is a single parent going into an incarceration situation. She hasn't provided any kind of testimony or evidence that suggests that it rises above that. That it is such a remarkable case that a

downward departure or a variance would be warranted in this case.

The Government's position is that under 3553, Defendant's history and characteristics, her lengthy history of criminal history, the characteristics we just discussed, the issue of deterrence of the -- and frankly, the safety of the community from the Defendant's future crimes. She has shown that she is noncompliant while under supervision, and that she is likely to reoffend. There is an indifference to the safety and wellbeing of others. I think that has been proven as well.

I will also note that I did have a conversation with Pretrial Services after I again looked at Ms. Smith's Facebook page as recently as several days ago. It is up there today as well. That Ms. Smith did post another Facebook live video in May. I believe it was May 21st of her driving her car to what turns out to be some kind of a doctor's appointment that she was ordered not to drive her vehicle. I believe we took her driver's license away. I think, and that may be why she doesn't have her ID here today. Judge Mensah ordered that she not drive.

There is also video posted on there of Ms. Smith operating a business out of her home. Again, this was a business that was not authorized. She is selling clothing. I believe she is also fixing people's hair as well, and

potentially holding tutorials on how to do that as well.

Those have also been Facebook live, and they are all over her Facebook page which is a public Facebook page.

So my understanding is that Pretrial may be actually filing another violation that hasn't been filed at this point. But that was up there today, and it has been throughout again since February when we last appeared before this Court -- or before the Magistrate Court. So for all of these reasons, the Government is asking for a sentence within the guidelines. We don't believe that there is any reason to depart or to vary below the recommended guideline sentence of 37 to 46 months, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Roy. Ms. Smith, I want to give you an opportunity, if there is anything you want to tell me, this is the time to do it. Ms. Smith, I'm going to ask you to stay right there. Thank you, just keep your voice up, if you would.

THE DEFENDANT: So in conscience, your Honor, first

I wanted to address some of the concerns that were brought

against me. I don't know if I'm allowed to from the

prosecutor, but I want to address some of those concerns.

As far as the business that I was running, the business opened up in 2019. It was addressed with Pretrial the day that I turned myself in of December 11, 2019. I opened the business up in August of 2019. When I had got on

Pretrial, the business wasn't up and running, because I had just opened it up. So in December, I wasn't running a business.

my business, because I got permission saying that it was okay because I'm on Pretrial that I follow the rules, and do everything that I'm supposed to be doing, letting them know what's going on. So I started selling my clothing from my clothing line, ordered it from distributors, selling clothing. Yes, I do hair. It was addressed at Pretrial when I turned myself in.

THE COURT: I'll tell you that I'm not concerned about those issues. Again, I understand that given the nature of the offense that you pled guilty to, there were some concerns that Pretrial had. There were concerns that the Government had. I'm telling you that the fact that you may have been running a business doesn't bother me in any way. That just doesn't bother me in any way so.

THE DEFENDANT: So in retrospect to everything that's going on, your Honor. Yes, over the course of time in my past I have -- give me a second -- I have made mistakes in my past. Yes, I have broke the law in my past. Within the last five years, I have been trying to clean my past up. So yes, I have a lot of convictions out there that's prior to things that I have went through in my past. Yes that there

are still cases that are open that I'm trying to clear up from my past. It takes time. Nothing happens overnight. I have been trying.

Anything that goes wrong, or if something comes up, even though I don't want to face it, I go face it. I turn myself in. I try to do the right thing. On Pretrial, it was hard for me. I tried my best to do the right thing. I tried really hard to please Jameka Taylor. Yes, I messed up with this drug problem. Yes, I can't -- yes, I have a drug problem. I'm self-medicating myself from a lot of things that I have been through. I try my best to go get help. I did try treatment, and I went there. It wasn't that I wanted to leave treatment. It wasn't -- it was they were infected with a virus. Everyone was sick.

I got permission to leave. I was going back. I asked to go back. Months went past. I was self-medicating my kids and myself, because I was on house arrest, and it was hard. I couldn't get permission to do certain things. So I self-medicated. My baby got sick. It's not my fault. I can't force no one to get sick. I rushed her to the emergency room. They didn't even give me permission. Even though I know I broke the law, I left and took my baby to the hospital, because my baby was sick, and I took her to the emergency room and got paperwork from these people. They quarantined my baby and asked me to stop self-medicating. I

shouldn't bring her. I explained to them people I'm on house arrest. It is hard for me right now. I don't have a soul to help me with my children. So I try to do the best I can, and I try to not disappoint the Court and not try to disappoint Jameka in the same process and still trying to be a mother,

because I have been doing this by myself for 23 years.

So I tried my best to please you, and I'm sorry if I let the Court down. I'm sorry if I made mistakes in the process of trying to get myself right. I apologize for my mistakes. I apologize to the Court for having to be here today. I apologize to the people that I hurt. I mostly apologize to my kids and some of the most of my mistakes that I have made in life --

THE COURT: Take your time.

THE DEFENDANT: And I would ask you whatever your decision may be, sir, I am human, and all I want to do is try to live right for this community, but I haven't had the opportunity, and I would -- yes, I have made mistakes. I'll try to clear them up, if you see me to be lenient with whatever you decide with me, sir. I'll be thankful for that just to make it back home to my children.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Smith, let me say this, obviously, I recognize, it is pointed out in the presentence report, that you had a very difficult childhood, upbringing. You dealt with things that I can't imagine how difficult they

had to be for you, and I recognize you have had a lot of challenges, things to deal with. I believe everything that you are saying to me here today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You've dealt with drug issues, some mental health issues. It has been clear to me from the first time I saw you at the time of the plea that you need help. You really do. We talked about that at the time of the plea, and I was hopeful that we could get you in an inpatient drug treatment program. You said you that's what you wanted to do. tried to do that. Pretrial tried to do that. I told you then that it was very, very important that you not violate any of the conditions of your bond. By all rights, I should have ordered that you be taken into custody before today, because you did continue to violate the conditions of your bond, and that's unfortunate. That's all I can say to you. It doesn't change the fact that I recognize you desperately need some help dealing with your drug problem. Again, I think your mental health issues, you need help with dealing with those things.

So I have given consideration to all of these factors, all of the 3553(a) factors, all of the just the mitigating circumstances in your case, which I think there are a number of them. There are also some bad things. As you know, you have a lengthy criminal history. That is sort of a continuing pattern of criminal conduct. I have to say

to you, and I'd say to the Government, that I don't think that the type of offenses that you have been convicted of in the past are the most serious in my mind, but they are certainly indicative of a course of criminal conduct. And again, I have considered those things. I have obviously considered the nature of the offense, the violations that occurred on Pretrial release. It was an opportunity for you to prove that you could be in the community and comply with the requirements of the law, and unfortunately, you weren't able. In some instances, you just didn't do that.

I understand there were extenuating circumstances too, but when I give consideration to all of these factors, the Court believes that a slight downward variance from the guidelines to a sentence of 32 months on each of the counts to run concurrent is the appropriate sentence in the case. It is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to meet the statutory sentencing objectives. I am going to order a number of conditions. I'm hoping that we can get you some help so that you can return to your family and be in a better position to care for them and care for yourself.

That being said, Ms. McGraugh, do you know of any legal objection to the Court imposing the sentence as I have indicated?

MS. MCGRAUGH: I do not, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Roy, does the Government know of

any legal objection to the Court imposing the sentence as I have indicated?

MR. ROY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Then no legal objection having been shown why judgment and sentence should not now be pronounced, it is then the order, sentence, and judgment of this Court that pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, the provisions of 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a), it is the judgment of the Court that the Defendant, Lakisha Smith, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for an aggregate term of 32 months. That term will be 32 months on each of Counts 2 and 5 with those terms to run concurrently.

While in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, the Court is going to recommend that you be evaluated for participation in a residential drug abuse program. The Federal Bureau of Prisons has a great program. Ms. McGraugh can explain some of the things about that program and the benefits of the program. It is a great program, and I think it can be a huge help to you.

I'll also recommend that you be evaluated for any available mental health treatment program, and any occupational or educational program that you have an interest in. You are a bright talented person. You can take advantage of these programs, and as I say, hopefully return

to your family in a much better position.

with regard to placement, Ms. McGraugh, do you have
a request?

MS. MCGRAUGH: We are requesting that Ms. Smith be placed within 90 miles of St. Louis, your Honor, to facilitate her family visiting.

THE COURT: The Court will include as part of its final judgment that you be considered for placement in a facility as close as possible to the St. Louis area that has a residential drug abuse, drug treatment program. I think it is imperative, absolutely imperative that Ms. Smith get that drug treatment. So I will include that as part of the final judgment.

Upon release from imprisonment, the Court will order that you be placed on supervised release for a term of one year on each of Counts 2 and 5 with those terms to run concurrently. The Court will order that you pay the restitution in this matter. It is a total amount of \$10,416. It is payable to the Internal Revenue Service. Payments of the restitution will be made to the Clerk of the Court for transfer to the victim. The Court will waive any interest requirement. The restitution is due immediately. If you are unable to pay the restitution in full, then I'll order that you make payments in monthly installments of at least \$100 with payments to commence no later than 30 days of the

commencement of your supervision.

The Court will order that you notify the U.S.

Attorney's Office of this District within 30 days of any change of your mailing or residence address that occurs while any portion of the restitution remains unpaid. I will order that you comply with the mandatory conditions of supervision that are set out in the presentence report. I'll also order that you comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court and comply with the following special conditions. Again, I'll order that you submit to substance abuse testing. That you participate in a substance abuse treatment program. I'll also order that you participate in a mental health treatment program. I'm absolutely convinced if you get some mental health treatment, if you can get your drug issues under control, you can do just fine.

The Court, because of the circumstances of the offense, will impose the search condition. The Court will order that you provide the Probation Officer with access to any requested financial information and authorize the release of that financial information. The Court will order that you not incur new credit charges, or open additional lines of credit without the approval of the Probation Office, and that you apply all monies received from any anticipated or unexpected financial gains to the outstanding Court ordered financial obligation. It will be a specific special

condition that you make those restitution payments that are going to be made part of the judgment.

The Court does find that you do not have the present ability to pay a fine. The Court is required by statute to order that you pay the mandatory special assessment. It is hundred dollars per count, or a total of \$200 in this case.

with regard to Counts 1, 3, 4, and 6 of the indictment, pursuant to the plea agreement, is there a motion on behalf of the Government, Ms. Roy?

MS. ROY: Yes, your Honor. Pursuant to the negotiated plea agreement, we'd move to dismiss those counts at this time.

THE COURT: And pursuant to the plea agreement and the motion of the Government, Counts 1, 3, 4, and 6 will be ordered dismissed. Before I go over with Ms. Smith her appeal rights, anything further on behalf of the Defendant, Ms. McGraugh?

MS. MCGRAUGH: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further on behalf of the Government, Ms. Roy?

MS. ROY: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Smith, the Court is required to advise you that you may have the right to appeal your conviction if you believe that your guilty plea was somehow

unlawful or involuntary, or if there was some other fundamental defect in the proceeding. Under some limited circumstances, you might be able to appeal the sentence that's been imposed here today. You may have waived your right to appeal that by virtue of the terms of the plea agreement. You will recall there was language in the plea agreement with regard to your waiving certain appeal rights.

If you want to present any arguments to the Court of Appeals, you can do so by filing a notice of appeal. If you request, the Clerk of the Court will file that notice of appeal for you. You can ask for leave to file the notice of appeal as a poor person or in forma pauperis. Under almost all circumstances, the notice of appeal has to be filed within 14 days of this date. And Ms. McGraugh, I'll just ask that you go over with Ms. Smith her appeal rights, file that form pursuant to the Local Rule.

Ms. Smith, that brings us to the issue of you being taken into custody here today. Ms. Smith again, I talked to you at the time of the plea about abiding by those conditions of your Pretrial release. Again, I know there were some extraordinary circumstances. In some instances, there were reasons for the violations; but in some instance, there just weren't. So here today, I'm going to order that you be remanded to the custody of the Marshals. Anything further, Ms. McGraugh?

MS. MCGRAUGH: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Then again, Ms. Smith, good luck. Take advantage of those services. You will be remanded to the custody of the Marshals at this time. If you have a question, go ahead and ask me. THE DEFENDANT: I want to ask the question, can I just give my kids a hug? THE COURT: I'm sorry, ma'am. You will be remanded into the custody of the Marshals at this time. (The proceedings concluded at 10:08 a.m.)

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, Lisa M. Paczkowski, Registered Professional 4 Reporter, do hereby certify that I am a duly appointed 5 Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, 6 Eastern District of Missouri, and that the foregoing is a 7 true and accurate reproduction of requested proceedings had 8 in the matter of: United States of America vs. Lakisha S. Smith 9 10 In the event copies are made of the transcript 11 herein, the court reporter takes no responsibility for 12 missing or damaged pages. 13 Dated this 26th day of July, 2021. 14 15 16 /s/ Lisa M. Paczkowski Lisa M. Paczkowski 17 Official Court Reporter United States District Court 18 Eastern District of Missouri 19 20 21 22 23 24 25